



OPEN

Alexithymia traits outweigh autism traits in the explanation of depression in adults with autism

Carola Bloch^{1,2,✉}, Lana Burghof¹, Fritz-Georg Lehnhardt¹, Kai Vogeley^{1,3} & Christine Falter-Wagner^{2,4}

When contemplating the alarming depression rates in adults with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), there is a need to find factors explaining heightened symptoms of depression. Beyond the impact of autism traits, markedly increased levels of alexithymia traits should be considered as a candidate for explaining why individuals with ASD report higher levels of depressive symptoms. Here, we aim to identify the extent to which autism or alexithymia traits indicate depressive symptoms in ASD and whether the pattern of association are specific to ASD. Data of a large ($N = 400$) representative clinical population of adults referred to autism diagnostics have been investigated and split by cases with a confirmed ASD diagnosis ($N = 281$) and cases with a ruled out ASD diagnosis ($N = 119$). Dominance analysis revealed the alexithymia factor, *difficulties in identifying feelings*, as the strongest predictor for depressive symptomatology in ASD, outweighing autism traits and other alexithymia factors. This pattern of prediction was not specific to ASD and was shared by clinical controls from the referral population with a ruled out ASD diagnosis. Thus, the association of alexithymia traits with depression is not unique to ASD and may constitute a general psychopathological mechanism in clinical samples.

Autism traits and depression. Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is characterized as a developmental disorder that entails pervasive peculiarities in communication and social interaction, as well as restricted and repetitive behavior, which exist as main diagnostic categories according to ICD-10¹. Depression remains a prevailing comorbidity in ASD populations. A recent meta-analysis of 29 studies showed a heightened prevalence of current (23%) and lifetime (37%) depression in autistic adults². A subsequent question is whether traits that are inherent to autism suggest a cause for heightened depressive symptoms. It could be assumed that increased autism traits, such as social difficulties and behavioral maladaptation, constitute a possible loading factor. However, mixed empirical findings riddle the literature. For example, a longitudinal study found higher depression scores in children with autism ASD, and higher autism traits predicted higher depression rates in adolescence³. In line with that, studies found positive correlations of autism traits with depression in non-clinical samples of adults^{4–6} and a sample of adolescents and adults with ASD⁷. Other studies reported no direct modulation of depressive symptoms by autism traits in adults with ASD^{8–10}. Thus, it remains unclear whether autism traits have a direct effect on depression that is not explained by other comorbidities in ASD.

Alexithymia traits and depression. Besides autism traits as a potential factor for depression in ASD, comorbid factors may account for heightened depressive symptoms. An increasingly discussed psychopathological construct, *alexithymia*, often co-occurs with ASD and is especially interesting in the context of depression in ASD. This condition was first described by Sifneos¹¹ and is also referred to as *emotional blindness*. In the general population, the estimated prevalence of alexithymia is 10–20%^{12–16}. In their recent meta-analysis, Kinnaird et al. reported a markedly increased prevalence of alexithymia in ASD of 50%¹⁷. Alexithymia measures usually comprise three different traits: *Difficulties in Identifying Feelings* (DIF), *Difficulties Describing Feelings* (DDF), and *Externally-Oriented Thinking* (EOT)¹⁸. Alexithymia has frequently been linked to depression^{19–24}. Regarding the distinct alexithymia subdomains, a meta-analysis of studies found medium-sized effects of *difficulties*

¹Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Kerpener Str. 62, 50937 Cologne, Germany. ²Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical Faculty, LMU Munich, Nussbaumstr. 7, 80336 Munich, Germany. ³Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM3), Research Center Jülich, Leo-Brandt-Str, 52428 Jülich, Germany. ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Cologne, Gronewaldstr. 2, 50931 Cologne, Germany. ✉email: carola.bloch@med.uni-muenchen.de

Variable	ASD+		ASD-		χ^2	df	p
	n	%	n	%			
Male	219	78	81	68			
Female	62	22	38	32	3.832	1	0.050
	M	SD	M	SD	t(398)	p	d
Age	33.2	11.0	33.5	12.5	0.253	0.801	0.027
IQ	104.3	16.6	100.7	14.7	-2.055	0.041	-0.230
PIQ	99.7	16.3	98.0	14.1	-1.040	0.299	-0.117
VIQ	107.0	16.4	101.8	15.2	-2.942	0.003	-0.326

Table 1. Characteristics of both diagnostic samples: confirmed (ASD+) and ruled out (ASD-) diagnosis of ASD. Number and percentage of males and females in groups with results of Pearson's chi-square test for frequency distribution in groups. Means (*M*) with standard deviations (*SD*) for metric variables with results of *t*-test (two-tailed, $\alpha = .05$) for independent groups [Levene tests confirmed equal variances ($p > 0.05$)].

identifying and describing feelings but only a weak relationship of externally-oriented thinking with self-reported depression²⁰.

Alexithymia traits, autism traits, and depression. Considering the high rates of alexithymia in ASD and an association of alexithymia with depression irrespective of ASD, it could be assumed that alexithymia traits modulate depression in ASD. By observing ASD and alexithymia as parallel factors for depression, Fietz and colleagues applied structural equation models on data from an online study addressed to participants from the general population²⁵. They showed that autism and alexithymia traits had medium-sized effects on depression. Morie and colleagues conducted an online questionnaire and investigated the effect of autism symptomatology and alexithymia with depressive symptoms in adults with ASD²⁶. Their serial mediation analysis provides evidence that autism traits are not directly associated with depression. However, an indirect effect was found, which indicated that autism traits could lead to enhanced depressive symptoms through a positive association with alexithymia and weaker emotion regulation abilities²⁶. The validity and generalizability of this online study is limited as the small sample consisted primarily of females (47*f/17*m), and diagnoses were not confirmed by any clinical assessment²⁶. Furthermore, the association with depression of both autism and alexithymia raises questions concerning the interpretability of many previous studies testing only one of these predictors or both without controlling their covariation. To date, no study has tested the potentially different effects of alexithymia traits (DIF, DDF, EOT) on depression in a clinical population of individuals with and without ASD, allowing for judgments of specificity.

Consequently, the aims of the current study are to (a) clarify whether autism traits or alexithymia traits better explain depressive symptoms in adults with ASD, (b) establish whether there is a differential predictive power of the three alexithymia traits, and (c) determine whether such predictive associations with depression are specific to ASD. For this purpose, we analyzed data of a representative clinical population of adults referred to outpatient clinics for autism diagnostics. Groups were split into cases with a confirmed ASD diagnosis ($N = 281$) and cases with a ruled out ASD diagnosis ($N = 119$), allowing for direct clinical comparison and statistically accounting for the strong association between autism and alexithymia traits by employing dominance analysis²⁷.

Method

Participants. Participant characteristics are reported in Table 1. The data analyzed in this study are based on post-hoc naturalistic and comprehensive sampling from the referral population in the outpatient clinic for autism in adulthood at the University Hospital Cologne. Data was collected from 2006 until 2019. The project has been approved by the ethical commission of the medical faculty of the University of Cologne (case number: 20-1432). Data analyzed in this study was fully anonymized. The need to obtain informed consent from the subjects included in this retrospective study has been declared dispensable by the ethics committee of the medical faculty of the University of Cologne. According to §6 para. 1 sentence 1 GDSG NRW ('Gesundheitsdatenschutzgesetz Nordrhein-Westfalen') academic staff is allowed to make scientific use of data to which they have access because of their activities, without the consent of the persons concerned being necessary. Individuals were referred to the outpatient clinic for ASD by medical consultants based on suspicion of a possible ASD diagnosis due to reported social-emotional symptoms. Diagnostic procedures throughout the period of data collection were conducted in accordance with German guidelines for the diagnosis of ASD in adulthood²⁸, comprising neuropsychological testing and clinical assessment of at least two independent clinicians and a clinical consensus decision. An ASD diagnosis was given if patients met all diagnostic criteria of ICD-10¹.

The comprehensive clinical population was split into ASD+ cases ($N = 281$), consisting of individuals who received a diagnosis of F84.5 ($n = 242$; *Asperger Syndrome*), F84.1 ($n = 18$; *Atypical Autism*), or F84.0 ($n = 21$; *Childhood Autism*) according to ICD-10¹, and cases consisting of individuals for whom any F84 diagnosis was ruled out, hence referred to as ASD- ($N = 119$). Thus, the ASD- group comprises individuals who exhibited social difficulties but specifically did not fulfill the criteria for an ASD diagnosis. Patients who received a diagnosis of F84.8 ($n = 21$, *other pervasive developmental disorders*) or F84.9 ($n = 50$, *pervasive developmental disorder—not otherwise specified, PDD-NOS*) were not included in the analyzes because of the ambiguity of group assignment.

Variable	Sample	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	BDI	AQ	DIF	DDF
BDI	ASD+	13.9	10.4	–			
	ASD–	15.7	10.5				
AQ	ASD+	38.7	6.1	0.25 (0.000)	–		
	ASD–	37.6	5.8	0.12 (0.189)			
DIF	ASD+	22.1	6.1	0.41 (0.000)	0.52 (.000)	–	
	ASD–	23.2	6.6	0.25 (0.006)	0.44 (0.000)		
DDF	ASD+	19.1	3.8	0.26 (0.000)	0.47 (0.000)	0.59 (0.000)	–
	ASD–	18.9	4.0	–0.01 (0.877)	0.47 (0.000)	0.61 (0.000)	
EOT	ASD+	23.4	5.2	–0.03 (0.643)	0.00 (0.985)	0.08 (0.203)	0.22 (0.000)
	ASD–	23.7	5.1	0.06 (0.551)	0.02 (0.830)	–0.01 (0.923)	0.17 (0.065)

Table 2. Descriptive statistics and correlations for variables of interest. Pearson's product moment correlation coefficients (*p* values, Bonferroni-adjusted).

Further inclusion criteria were total IQ scores > 70, measured with the German version of the *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale* (WIE-III) which includes measures of performance-based IQ (PIQ) and verbal IQ (VIQ)²⁹, and less than four missing items on the *Autism-Spectrum Quotient* (AQ)³⁰ and the *20-Item Toronto Alexithymia Scale* (TAS-20)¹⁸. In total, *n* = 19 (ASD+) and *n* = 12 (ASD–) had one missing item, *n* = 5 (ASD+) and *n* = 5 (ASD–) had two missing items, and *n* = 2 (ASD+) had three missing AQ items. For TAS-20, *n* = 8 (ASD+) and *n* = 4 (ASD–) had one missing item, *n* = 2 (ASD+) had two missing items, and *n* = 1 (ASD+) had three missing items. Missing items were replaced by group means. One ASD+ case was not included in the analysis due to an extremely low outlier score on the AQ (< 3 *SD* from *M*).

Retrieved data. Depressive symptoms were assessed with the German version of the *Beck Depression Inventory* (BDI)³¹. BDI is a self-report questionnaire that assesses depressive symptoms over 21 items³². Each item was answered on a four-point scale, scoring from 0–3, with higher scores indicating increased symptom severity. Scores in the range of 11–17 indicate mild to moderate depressive symptoms and scores ≥ 18 classify clinically relevant depression³¹. In the ASD+ and ASD– groups, 25.27% and 20.17% of cases reported mild-moderate symptoms, respectively. In the ASD+ and ASD– groups, 30.60% and 41.18% reported clinically relevant depressive symptoms, respectively.

The AQ is a well-established 50-item self-report questionnaire assessing autism traits including *social skills*, *difficulties in attention switching*, the degree of *attention to detail*, and difficulties in *communication and imagination*³⁰. Each of the 50 items was answered on a Likert-scale, ranging from 1 = *agree* to 4 = *disagree* and re-coded to 1 or 0 for summing. Scores > 32 depict pronounced autism traits³⁰. In the ASD+ and ASD– groups, 83.63% and 78.15% of cases reported autism traits above this threshold, respectively. The internal consistency of the scale was good ($\alpha = 0.86$).

The TAS-20 is a self-report questionnaire assessing alexithymia on three dimensions (DIF, DDF, and EOT)¹⁸. Each item was answered on a five-point Likert-scale, ranging from 5 = *strongly agree* to 1 = *strongly disagree*. The recommended cut-off for clinically relevant alexithymia is 61³³. In the ASD+ and the ASD– samples, 66.19% and 68.91% reported clinically relevant alexithymia traits, respectively. Cronbach's alphas for all subscales revealed good internal consistencies for DIF ($\alpha = 0.85$) and DDF ($\alpha = 0.74$) and acceptable internal consistency for EOT ($\alpha = 0.60$).

Statistical procedures. Data preprocessing and analysis were conducted in RStudio³⁴ using the statistical programming language R³⁵. Based on their extensive taxometric analysis, Parker et al. argued that the latent structure of alexithymia should be considered continuous rather than categorical³⁶. Accordingly, we analyzed alexithymia traits based on metric scales. Two sample *t*-tests were conducted to compare ASD+ and ASD– in terms of TAS-20, AQ, and BDI. Pearson zero-order correlations measures of interest were calculated with Bonferroni adjustment (Table 2). For multivariate analysis, regression models were calculated with autism traits (AQ) and alexithymia traits (DIF, DDF, EOT) as predictors of self-reported depressive symptoms (BDI) in ASD+ and ASD– samples. The samples differed significantly in IQ scores, with higher scores in the ASD+ sample ($t(398) = -2.055$, $p < 0.05$, $d = -0.230$), driven by higher scores in VIQ ($t(398) = -2.942$, $p < 0.05$, $d = -0.326$). We included age, sex, and measures of PIQ, and VIQ as control variables to account for potential confounds (see Table 1 for summary statistics and significance tests). The model for the ASD– sample met all assumptions for general linear models; namely, sample sizes were sufficient for the number of predictors, adequate model fit (< 5% of standardized residuals > 2), independence of errors (Durbin–Watson statistic ≈ 2), lack of multicollinearity (variance inflation factor < 2 for all predictors in all models), and homoscedasticity for the ASD– model. As for the model of the ASD+ sample, heteroscedasticity was implied by funneled residual plots and a significant result in the Breusch Pagan Test, robust regression with heteroscedasticity-consistent covariance matrix (HCCM) was conducted, using the *sandwich*³⁷ and *lmtest*³⁸ packages in R. HCCMs were retrieved by the *vcovHC()* function, applying the HC3 method based on recommendations by Long & Ervin³⁹. HCCM was proposed as an adequate method for adjustment of errors in case of heteroscedasticity by Rosopa et al.⁴⁰.

Predictors	ASD+				ASD-			
	β	SE_{adj}	GDW	LL, UL	β	SE	GDW	LL, UL
Age	0.184	0.061	0.042	0.007, 0.094	0.013	0.079	0.003	0.001, 0.042
Sex	0.147	1.294	0.016	0.003, 0.044	0.164	2.067	0.015	0.001, 0.085
PIQ	0.030	0.043	0.004	0.001, 0.020	-0.061	0.080	0.020	0.002, 0.080
VIQ	-0.038	0.045	0.002	0.001, 0.015	-0.199	0.077	0.032	0.004, 0.121
AQ	-0.006	0.137	0.020	0.009, 0.049	0.195	0.199	0.019	0.002, 0.078
DIF	0.369	0.136	0.116	0.062, 0.173	0.354	0.187	0.068	0.012, 0.144
DDF	0.064	0.194	0.029	0.014, 0.059	-0.302	0.313	0.024	0.005, 0.114
EOT	-0.079	0.129	0.003	0.001, 0.037	0.017	0.197	0.002	0.001, 0.049
R^2			0.232				0.183	

Table 3. Models with BDI as dependent variable. Models for ASD+ ($N=281$) and ASD- ($N=119$) samples. Standardized regression coefficients (β) with standard errors (SE) or HCCM-adjusted errors (SE_{adj}). General dominance weights (GDW) retrieved by dominance analysis with lower limits (LL) and upper limits (UL) of bootstrapped confidence intervals. Strongest predictor in each model in bold.

Correlations of autism and alexithymia traits could have problematic results when interpreting their relative effects through beta coefficients. Beta coefficients represent the total effects, whereas general dominance weights (GDW) constitute a global index of importance. This was suggested as a new comparison standard for multiple regression because it considers direct, total, and partial effects²⁷. This method was previously introduced regarding the inference of linear effects of alexithymia and ASD on empathy⁴¹. GDW s were an adequate and easily interpretable effect size for answering the research questions concerning the relative effects of alexithymia and autism traits in our analysis. GDW s were calculated with their bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals (100 resamples) using the *yhat* package in R⁴². GDW s were retrieved by dominance analysis⁴³, in which unique variance explained by each predictor was calculated by the squared semipartial correlation averaged across all models in all possible subsets that included that predictor²⁷. GDW s together sum up to the total determination factor R^2 of the model. Therefore, they allowed for the calculation of the relative proportion of each predictor in variance explanation and, thus, the ranking of predictors within the models⁴⁴.

Results

Table 2 depicts mean scores and correlations of the measures of interest in the groups. The ASD+ sample did not significantly differ from the ASD- sample in any TAS-20 subdomains, DIF ($t(398) = 1.698, p = 0.090, d = 0.183$), DDF ($t(398) = -0.555, p = 0.579, d = -0.060$), and EOT ($t(398) = 0.488, p = 0.626, d = 0.054$). The groups did not differ significantly in their levels of AQ ($t(398) = -1.693, p = 0.091, d = -0.187$) and BDI ($t(398) = 1.579, p = 0.115, d = 0.172$).

Regarding correlations with depressive symptoms in the ASD+ sample, BDI scores significantly increased with AQ ($r = 0.25, p < 0.001, 95\% CI [0.13, 0.35]$), DIF ($r = 0.41, p < 0.001, 95\% CI [0.31, 0.51]$), and DDF ($r = 0.26, p < 0.001, 95\% CI [0.15, 0.37]$), but not with EOT ($r = -0.03, p = 0.643, 95\% CI [-0.14, 0.09]$). In the ASD- sample, BDI significantly increased with DIF ($r = 0.25, p < 0.05, 95\% CI [0.08, 0.41]$), but not with AQ ($r = 0.12, p = 0.189, 95\% CI [-0.06, 0.29]$), DDF ($r = -0.01, p < 0.877, 95\% CI [-0.19, 0.16]$) or EOT ($r = 0.06, p = 0.551, 95\% CI [-0.13, 0.23]$). Considering correlations of autism and alexithymia traits in the ASD+ sample, DIF significantly increased with AQ ($r = 0.52, p < 0.001, 95\% CI [0.43, 0.60]$) and DDF ($r = 0.47, p < 0.001, 95\% CI [0.38, 0.56]$). Similarly, AQ significantly increased with DIF ($r = 0.44, p < 0.001, 95\% CI [0.28, 0.57]$) and DDF ($r = 0.47, p < 0.001, 95\% CI [0.32, 0.60]$) in the ASD- sample. EOT was not correlated with AQ in either sample (ASD+: $r = 0.00, p = 0.985, 95\% CI [-0.12, 0.12]$ /ASD-: $r = 0.02, p = 0.830, 95\% CI [-0.16, 0.20]$).

Table 3 depicts regression estimates and results of dominance analysis with BDI as the dependent variable. The model in the ASD+ sample explained 23.2% variance in BDI score ($F(8,272) = 10.27, p < 0.001$). GDW estimates of AQ, DIF, DDF, and EOT allowed for ranking predictors in terms of importance by their proportion of variance explanation (R^2). In the ASD+ sample, DIF stood out as the strongest predictor ($GDW = 0.116, CI [0.062, 0.173]$, 50.0% of R^2), DDF was the second ($GDW = 0.029, CI [0.014, 0.059]$, 12.5% of R^2), and AQ the third strongest predictor ($GDW = 0.020, CI [0.009, 0.049]$, 8.6% of R^2) with negative coefficients. This indicates that autism traits did not affect depression beyond alexithymia traits. The model in the ASD- sample explained 18.3% variance of BDI scores ($F(8,110) = 3.08, p < 0.01$). Estimates revealed a similar structure as the ASD+ sample: DIF was the strongest predictor ($GDW = 0.068, CI [0.012, 0.144]$, 37.1% of R^2), DDF was ranked second ($GDW = 0.024, CI [0.005, 0.114]$, 13.1% of R^2), and AQ third ($GDW = 0.019, CI [0.002, 0.078]$, 10.4% of R^2). EOT was the weakest predictor of depressive symptoms with small effect sizes in the ASD+ sample ($GDW = 0.003, CI [0.001, 0.037]$, 1.3% of R^2) and in the ASD- sample ($GDW = 0.002, CI [0.001, 0.049]$, 1.1% of R^2).

Discussion

The key findings of the current study are:

- Alexithymia traits (i.e. DIF & DDF) outweigh autism traits in the multivariate prediction of depressive symptoms in adults with ASD,

- (b) The alexithymia trait, *difficulties identifying feelings*, is the strongest predictor of depressive symptom severity, and
- (c) Similar patterns of prediction in both models, for adults with and without ASD, imply a general mechanism for depression in clinical samples.

Autism traits significantly correlated with depressive symptoms, which is in line with studies that also reported positive correlations^{4–7}. Nevertheless, considering the high correlation of alexithymia and autism traits, our multivariate analysis puts this association in a new perspective because autism traits were only ranked third after alexithymia traits *difficulties identifying feelings* and *difficulties describing feelings*. This aligns with past studies, particularly with the findings of Morie et al.²⁶, as they resemble the direct effect of alexithymia on depressive symptoms in adults with ASD. Beyond replication, our results imply *difficulties identifying feelings* as the main factor in predicting depressive symptom severity. Furthermore, the effect of *difficulties identifying feelings* is in accordance with Li et al. who reported an overall medium effect size in their meta-analysis of studies from the general population and depression patients²⁰. Li et al. further reported medium effect sizes for *difficulties describing feelings* on depression, whereas we found only small effect sizes in the multivariate observation. As univariate correlations revealed a positive correlation with self-reported depressive symptoms, the small effect in the dominance analysis could hint at an intersection of variance explanation with autism traits. As AQ already includes communication difficulties, it may act as a suppressor for the scale assessing *difficulties describing feelings*.

Importantly, we need to understand whether prediction patterns of depression are unique to ASD for clinical decision making with respect to treatment planning. We found depressive symptoms to be similarly modulated by alexithymia traits and specifically explained by *difficulties identifying feelings* in a clinical population with a ruled out ASD diagnosis. Hence, alexithymia, in general, and *difficulties identifying feelings*, in particular, might be generally associated with depression requiring attention in treatment planning beyond ASD.

There are some important methodological and theoretical implications of this study. First, our results support the suggestion that the alexithymia subdomains should be considered individually to make inferences about distinct and subtle effects^{20,24,45}. As the subdomains showed different temporal stability²⁴, dissimilar internal consistencies^{13,33,46}, may distinctively relate to measures of psychological stress⁴⁷, and are semantically different^{20,45}. Thus, observations of individual subdomains should be standard. Subdomain splitting makes sense with regard to the different extent of social characteristics comprising the subdomains, especially in the context of ASD. While *difficulties in identifying feelings* and *externally-oriented thinking* are person-level domains, *difficulties describing feelings* represents a social domain of alexithymia. Thus, for individuals with pronounced autism traits comprising social communication difficulties, high scores in this domain might reflect a trend of social withdrawal rather than a cause of malfunctioning emotional access.

Another important aspect of our study is the replication of prior results that showed high correlations of autism and alexithymia traits^{41,48}. We encourage future research to consider this finding in their methodological procedures. For relative effects in the case of covariation of predictors, dominance weights demonstrate a reasonable alternative to beta coefficients as they represent a valid and easily interpretable estimate of *relative importance* of each predictor^{27,44}.

It has been suggested by different authors that alexithymia could be used to define subgroups within the autism spectrum^{12,49}. Based on our results, we would tentatively agree but additionally argue that alexithymia should be regarded in terms of subdomains and as continuous variables³⁶. Our results demonstrate that a subgroup of adults with ASD can be described by high levels of *difficulties identifying feelings*, and this subgroup may specifically profit from interventions that target emotional regulation abilities and foster introspection. Regarding this potential subgroup in ASD, it could be assumed that heightened rates of *difficulties identifying feelings* have their origin outside autism features. Szatmari et al. found that parents of children with ASD reported significantly higher degrees of *difficulties identifying feelings* compared to parents of children with Prader Willi syndrome⁵⁰. This result was recently replicated with the addition that *difficulties identifying feelings* were associated with depression in mothers and fathers of children with ASD⁵¹. As studies showed that alexithymia is potentially passed on to children through heredity⁵² and family interactions⁵³, it could be suggested that heightened rates of *difficulties in identifying feelings* in a subgroup could be explained by external factors (family or affiliated persons). Likewise, it could be suggested that autism traits are potentially heightened within the family, which gives rise to alexithymia. Etiological pathways remain unclear, and future studies should be conducted that specifically target alexithymia in individuals with ASD and their caregivers in a longitudinal design. Such research could better inform the possible origins of pronounced alexithymia traits in ASD and allow for inference of alexithymia as a cause for, or consequence of, depression in ASD.

Aside from the methodological advantages and important implications, the current study has limitations. First of all, we applied a retrospective cross-sectional design that does not allow for causal inference. Questions about the directionality of effects and etiological pathways remain a target for future prospective longitudinal studies. Additionally, our results are based on post-hoc naturalistic and comprehensive sampling from the referral population in two outpatient clinics for adults with autism. While this approach has the asset of delivering directly translatable generalization into the clinical reality of adult outpatient clinics, the clinical comparison group of individuals with suspicion of, but ultimately ruled-out, ASD diagnosis results in a heterogeneous clinical sample. Future studies will need to add to the question of generalizability by testing homogeneous clinical samples characterized by, for instance, social phobia. Another limitation that should be considered is that all measures were retrieved via self-report. Deploying questionnaires for all measures potentially results in variance that is only due to this common method. Future studies should include various methods to compensate for this bias.

By deploying adequate methods for inference about the differential predictive power of alexithymia and autism traits in a large representative referral population for autism diagnostics in adulthood, the current results

suggest that the alexithymia trait, *difficulties identifying feelings*, acts as a major predictor for depression in adults with ASD. Our results further show that this effect is not specific to ASD and potentially reflects a general mechanism in clinical samples.

Additional information

The use of language in this article was chosen based on suggestions in: Tepest, R. (2020). The meaning of diagnosis for different designations in talking about autism. *Journal of Autism and Development*. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04584-3>).

Data availability

The aggregated data analyzed during the current study and the R Script used for analysis are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 29 June 2020; Accepted: 8 January 2021

Published online: 26 January 2021

References

- World Health Organization. The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: diagnostic criteria for research (vol. 2). World Health Organization (1993).
- Hollocks, M. J., Lerh, J. W., Magiati, I., Meiser-Stedman, R. & Brugha, T. S. Anxiety and depression in adults with autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol. Med.* **49**, 559–572 (2019).
- Rai, D. *et al.* Association of autistic traits with depression from childhood to age 18 years. *JAMA Psychiatry* **75**, 835–843 (2018).
- Pelton, M. K. & Cassidy, S. A. Are autistic traits associated with suicidality? A test of the interpersonal-psychological theory of suicide in a non-clinical young adult sample. *Autism Res.* **10**, 1891–1904 (2017).
- Rosbrook, A. & Whittingham, K. Autistic traits in the general population: what mediates the link with depressive and anxious symptomatology?. *Res. Autism Spectr. Disord.* **4**, 415–424 (2010).
- Liss, M., Mailloux, J. & Erchull, M. J. The relationships between sensory processing sensitivity, alexithymia, autism, depression, and anxiety. *Pers. Individ. Dif.* **45**, 255–259 (2008).
- Cai, R. Y., Richdale, A. L., Foley, K. R., Trollor, J. & Uljarević, M. Brief report: Cross-sectional interactions between expressive suppression and cognitive reappraisal and its relationship with depressive symptoms in autism spectrum disorder. *Res. Autism Spectr. Disord.* **45**, 1–8 (2018).
- Cassidy, S. *et al.* Suicidal ideation and suicide plans or attempts in adults with asperger's syndrome attending a specialist diagnostic clinic: a clinical cohort study. *Lancet Psychiatry* **1**, 142–147 (2014).
- Hedley, D., Uljarević, M., Wilmot, M., Richdale, A. & Dissanayake, C. Understanding depression and thoughts of self-harm in autism: a potential mechanism involving loneliness. *Res. Autism Spectr. Disord.* **46**, 1–7 (2018).
- Uljarević, M. *et al.* The Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale: Factor structure and psychometric properties in older adolescents and young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res.* **11**, 258–269 (2018).
- Sifneos, P. E. The prevalence of 'Alexithymic' characteristics in psychosomatic patients. *Psychother. Psychosom.* **22**, 255–262 (1973).
- Bird, G. & Cook, R. Mixed emotions: the contribution of alexithymia to the emotional symptoms of autism. *Transl. Psychiatry* **3**, 1–8 (2013).
- Franz, M. *et al.* Alexithymia in the German general population. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **43**, 54–62 (2008).
- Gunzelmann, T., Kupfer, J. & Brähler, E. Alexithymia in the elderly general population. *Compr. Psychiatry* **43**, 74–80 (2002).
- Mattila, A. K., Salminen, J. K., Nummi, T. & Joukamaa, M. Age is strongly associated with alexithymia in the general population. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **61**, 629–635 (2006).
- Salminen, J. K., Saarijärvi, S., Äärelä, E., Toikka, T. & Kauhanen, J. Prevalence of alexithymia and its association with sociodemographic variables in the general population of Finland. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **46**, 75–82 (1999).
- Kinnaird, E., Stewart, C. & Tchanturia, K. Investigating alexithymia in autism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur. Psychiatry* **55**, 80–89 (2019).
- Bagby, R. M., Parker, J. D. A. & Taylor, G. J. The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia scale-I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **38**, 23–32 (1994).
- Honkalampi, K., Hintikka, J., Tanskanen, A., Lehtonen, J. & Viinamäki, H. Depression is strongly associated with alexithymia in the general population. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **48**, 99–104 (2000).
- Li, S., Zhang, B., Guo, Y. & Zhang, J. The association between alexithymia as assessed by the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale and depression: a meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res.* **227**, 1–9 (2015).
- Hemming, L., Haddock, G., Shaw, J. & Pratt, D. Alexithymia and its associations with depression, suicidality, and aggression: an overview of the literature. *Front. Psychiatry* **10**, 203 (2019).
- Marchesi, C., Bertoni, S., Cantoni, A. & Maggini, C. Is alexithymia a personality trait increasing the risk of depression? A prospective study evaluating alexithymia before, during and after a depressive episode. *Psychol. Med.* **38**, 1717–1722 (2008).
- Honkalampi, K. *et al.* Is alexithymia a risk factor for major depression, personality disorder, or alcohol use disorders? A prospective population-based study. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **68**, 269–273 (2010).
- Saarijärvi, S., Salminen, J. K. & Toikka, T. Temporal stability of alexithymia over a five-year period in outpatients with major depression. *Psychother. Psychosom.* **75**, 107–112 (2006).
- Fietz, J., Valencia, N. & Silani, G. Alexithymia and autistic traits as possible predictors for traits related to depression, anxiety, and stress: a multivariate statistical approach. *J. Eval. Clin. Pract.* **24**, 901–908 (2018).
- Morie, K. P., Jackson, S., Zhai, Z. W., Potenza, M. N. & Dritschel, B. Mood disorders in high-functioning autism: the importance of alexithymia and emotional regulation. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* **49**, 2935–2945 (2019).
- LeBreton, J. M., Ployhart, R. E. & Ladd, R. T. A Monte Carlo comparison of relative importance methodologies. *Organ. Res. Methods* **7**, 258–282 (2004).
- Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Wissenschaftlichen Medizinischen Fachgesellschaften. Autismus-Spektrum-Störungen im Kindes-, Jugend- und Erwachsenenalter - Teil 1: Diagnostik - Interdisziplinäre S3-Leitlinie der DGKJP und der DGPPN sowie der beteiligten Fachgesellschaften, Berufsverbände und Patientenorganisationen Langversion. AWMF online (2016).
- von Aster, M., Neubauer, A. & Horn, R. Wechsler Intelligenztest für Erwachsene WIE. Deutschsprachige Bearbeitung und Adaptation des WAIS-III von David Wechsler (2., korrigierte Auflage). (2006).
- Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Skinner, R., Martin, J. & Clubley, E. The Autism-spectrum quotient (AQ): Evidence from asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* **31**, 5–17 (2001).
- Hautzinger, M., Bailer, M., Worall, H. & Keller, F. *BDI Beck-Depressions-Inventar Testhandbuch* (Verlag Hans Huber, Bern, 1995).

32. Beck, A. T., Ward, C. H., Mendelson, M., Mock, J. & Erbaugh, J. An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **4**, 561–571 (1961).
33. Parker, J. D. A., Taylor, G. J. & Bagby, R. M. The 20-Item Toronto Alexithymia Scale: III. Reliability and factorial validity in a community population. *J. Psychosom. Res.* **55**, 269–275 (2003).
34. R Studio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. Boston, MA. <http://www.rstudio.com/> (2020).
35. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/> (2019).
36. Parker, J. D. A., Keefer, K. V., Taylor, G. J. & Bagby, R. M. Latent structure of the alexithymia construct: a taxometric investigation. *Psychol. Assess.* **20**, 385–396 (2008).
37. Zeileis, A. Object-oriented computation of sandwich estimators. *J. Stat. Softw.* **16**, 1–16 (2006).
38. Zeileis, A. & Hothorn, T. Diagnostic checking in regression relationships. *R News* **2**, 7–10 (2002).
39. Long, J. S. & Ervin, L. H. Using heteroscedasticity consistent standard errors in the linear regression model. *Am. Stat.* **54**, 217–224 (2000).
40. Rosopa, P. J., Schaffer, M. M. & Schroeder, A. N. Managing heteroscedasticity in general linear models. *Psychol. Methods* **18**, 335–351 (2013).
41. Shah, P., Livingston, L. A., Callan, M. J. & Player, L. Trait autism is a better predictor of empathy than alexithymia. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* **49**, 3956–3964 (2019).
42. Nimon, K., Oswald, F., Roberts, J. & Nimon, M. Package ‘yhat’: Interpreting Regression Effects. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=yhat> (2013).
43. Azen, R. & Budescu, D. V. The dominance analysis approach for comparing predictors in multiple regression. *Psychol. Methods* **8**, 129–148 (2003).
44. Nimon, K. F. & Oswald, F. L. Understanding the results of multiple linear regression: beyond standardized regression coefficients. *Organ. Res. Methods* **16**, 650–674 (2013).
45. Demers, L. A. & Koven, N. S. The relation of alexithymic traits to affective theory of mind. *Am. J. Psychol.* **128**, 31–42 (2015).
46. Preece, D., Becerra, R., Robinson, K. & Dandy, J. Assessing alexithymia: psychometric properties and factorial invariance of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale in nonclinical and psychiatric samples. *J. Psychopathol. Behav. Assess.* **40**, 276–287 (2018).
47. McGillivray, L. & Hasking, P. A. Do self-report measures of alexithymia measure alexithymia or general psychological distress? A factor analytic examination across five samples. *Pers. Individ. Dif.* **155**, 109721 (2020).
48. Cook, R., Brewer, R., Shah, P. & Bird, G. Alexithymia, not autism, predicts poor recognition of emotional facial expressions. *Psychol. Sci.* **24**, 723–732 (2013).
49. Mul, C. L., Stagg, S. D., Herbelin, B. & Aspell, J. E. The feeling of me feeling for you: interoception, alexithymia and empathy in autism. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* **48**, 2953–2967 (2018).
50. Szatmari, P. *et al.* Alexithymia in parents of children with autism spectrum disorder. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* **38**, 1859–1865 (2008).
51. Durukan, I., Kara, K., Almbaideen, M., Karaman, D. & Gül, H. Alexithymia, depression and anxiety in parents of children with neurodevelopmental disorder: comparative study of autistic disorder, pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified and attention deficit–hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatr. Int.* **60**, 247–253 (2018).
52. Jorgensen, M. M., Zachariae, R., Skytthe, A. & Kyvik, K. Genetic and environmental factors in alexithymia: a population-based study of 8785 Danish twin pairs. *Psychother. Psychosom.* **76**, 369–375 (2007).
53. Gatta, M. *et al.* Familial factors relating to alexithymic traits in adolescents with psychiatric disorders. *Clin. Psychol.* **21**, 252–262 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We thank Afton Nelson, Ralf Tepest, Josephine Schmitt and Sebastian Lammers for proofreading, and Hanna Thaler and Claus Caspari for their methodological advices.

Author contributions

L.B. collected the initial sample for her bachelor and master theses. C.B. expanded the sample, conducted the analysis and wrote the first version of the manuscript. L.B., F.G.L., K.V. and C.F.W. read and edited the manuscript twice. Each author made substantial contribution to the design and conception of this work and the interpretation of data. C.B. and C.F.W. finalized the manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. Funding was provided by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant No. FA876/3-1).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2021, corrected publication 2021

© The Author(s) 2021. corrected publication 2021. This work is published under <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>(the “License”). Notwithstanding the ProQuest Terms and Conditions, you may use this content in accordance with the terms of the License.